

1 TODD M. SORRELL (BAR NO. 175143)  
tsorrell@fulbright.com  
2 SPENCER PERSSON (BAR NO. 235054)  
spersson@fulbright.com  
3 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.  
555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor  
4 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: (213) 892-9200  
5 Facsimile: (213) 892-9494

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant  
TRI-STAR ELECTRONICS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

7  
8 DARREN M. FRANKLIN, Cal. Bar No. 210939  
dfranklin@sheppardmullin.com  
9 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP  
333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071-1422  
10 Telephone: 213-620-1780  
Facsimile: 213-620-1398

11 ROBERT E. BROWNE (*pro hac vice*)  
rbrowne@ngelaw.com  
12 MIKE R. TURNER (*pro hac vice*)  
mturner@ngelaw.com  
13 NEAL, GERBER & EISENBERG LLP  
Two North LaSalle Street, Suite 1700  
14 Chicago, Illinois 60602-3801  
Telephone: 312-269-8000  
15 Facsimile: 312-269-1747

16 Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant  
17 PRECI-DIP SA

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

19 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION**

20 TRI-STAR ELECTRONICS  
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 PRECI-DIP DURTAL SA,

24 Defendant,

25 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  
26

Case No. CV 08-04226 GAF (AJWx)

**[PROPOSED] NON-FINAL  
JUDGMENT OF NON-  
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT  
NO. 6,250,974**

1 Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Tri-Star Electronics International, Inc. (“Tri-  
 2 Star”) and Defendant and Counter-Claimant Preci-Dip SA (sued as Preci-Dip  
 3 Durtal SA) (“Preci-Dip”), having stipulated that the electrical contact devices  
 4 accused by Tri-Star of infringing U.S. Patent No. 6,250,974 in this matter do not  
 5 infringe claims 1-3, 7, 13 and 16 of that patent as its terms have been construed by  
 6 this Court, and having stipulated to a Judgment by this Court granting Preci-Dip’s  
 7 request for a declaration of non-infringement, and good cause appearing for  
 8 granting the stipulation,

9 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that Preci-  
 10 Dip has not infringed claims 1-3, 7, 13 and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,250,974 as  
 11 those claims have been construed by this Court. This is not intended to be a Final  
 12 Judgment in this case under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), and any time to  
 13 appeal this judgment will not begin to run until a final judgment is entered at the  
 14 conclusion of this matter. Tri-Star may object to or appeal this Judgment and the  
 15 Court’s Order re: Claim Construction, including an appeal of the claim  
 16 constructions of the ‘974 Patent.

17 DATED: July 5, 2012



20 \_\_\_\_\_  
 U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

21 Submitted by:

22 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

23 By /s/ Darren M. Franklin  
 24 DARREN M. FRANKLIN

25 Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant  
 26 PRECI-DIP SA

27 NGEDOCs: 1989707.3